

Amendments to the Claims

1. The independent claim (claim 1) has been amended to address the 35 USC 102 and 103 comments of, and to incorporate the allowance of claim 32 as stated in, the Detailed Action. A detailed description, particularly pointing out the distinctions of the structure of the present invention as claimed, over the relied upon prior art, is included in the “Remarks” section.

2. A complete listing of the claims is separately attached and numbered pages 1-6.

3. The status identifiers for the claims are as follows:

- a. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-18, 26 and 31: “Currently amended”
- b. Claims 21: “Previously amended.”
- c. Claims 2, 5, 18, 29, 30 and 32: “Canceled.”
- d. Claims 3, 4, 5-20, 22-25, 27, 28: “Original.”
- e. Claim 15: “Previously canceled.”
- f. Claims 33, 34, 35 and 36: “New”
- g. Claim 31: “Previously added.”

4. Claims 1, 34 and 35 are independent claims, all other claims are dependents.

5. Originally there were thirty total claims. There is now a total of thirty claims.

6. A summary of the current amendments to the claims is as follows:

Claim 1 “currently amended” incorporates the DA’s allowance of claim 32 by combining its apparel segment attachment means with the limitations of the base claim of the invention. The limitations of claim 32 are added to claim 1, accordingly:

- “...iii said segment having at least one side adjoining edge;
- B. said apparel having apparel attachment means for adjoining said at least one side adjoining edge(s) to a segment side adjoining edge of another apparel segment to form an apparel seam which provides for a substantially contiguous display of said video image content across said seam...”

Additionally, other clarifying structure has been written into amended claim 1 specifying a video-displaying apparel, comprising apparel segment(s) with the following limitations:

The segment(s) are “generally sized and shaped to the size and shape of an apparel segment typical of a conventional article of apparel”; are made of a “pixelated material

capable of displaying standard video rate, video image content which is contiguous in appearance and which covers up to all of said surface of said segment(s)..."

Support for the amendments / limitations made to claim 1 can be found in FIGS. 1A-1C, FIGS. 2A-2C and the following text references from the original specification.

- A. Apparel segment(s) *made entirely or in part* of highly flexible pixelated material: page 1, lines 11-20 and "substantially contiguous video-imaging surface" page 10, lines 7-9. "Liner" page 7, lines 9-11 and "lining material" page 10, lines 9-10. And adjoining or fastening means page 6, lines 26-31 and page 10-11 lines 30-1.
- B. Apparel segment(s) having a contiguous video-imaging surface: page 1, lines 11-20 and page 6, lines 7-11.
- C. The sizing and shaping of apparel segments to that of conventional articles of apparel: page 3, lines 29-31.
- D. Pixelated material capable of displaying standard video rate, video image content: see numerous references pertaining to new Markush claim 33 below.
- E. Video image content which is contiguous in appearance and which covers up to all of said surface of said segment(s): page 6, lines 7-11.

Claim 2 is "Canceled" due to its similarity to claim 32 (the latter of which is now incorporated, under the allowance of the DA into amended claim 1). The text of claim 2 has been entered as a new claim (36) dependent to new independent claim 35. Note: new independent claim 35 also has a number of the new limitations of "Currently amended" claim 1.

Claim 3, 4 and 6-17 "Currently amended" now are dependent to the "attachment means" incorporated into "Currently amended" claim 1 (under the DA allowance), instead of the presently "Canceled" claim 2 (previous claim 2 having been similar to claim 32).

Claim 31 "Currently amended" corrects an antecedent reference to claim 1.

Claim 31 "Currently amended" incorporates a change in claim syntax.

Claim 32 is "Canceled" and the structure which was described therein has been combined with amended independent claim 1 (under the DA allowance).

Claim 33 is a "New" Markush claim. The video content selectable from the Markush group is supported in the original specification, on:

- A. Page 2, line 3 (color)
- B. Page 3-4, lines 25-1
- C. Page 4, lines 1-6
- D. Page 8, lines 28-31 (color video)

1 E. Page 10, lines 1-3, and

2 F. Page 13, lines 1-2

3
4 **Claim 34** Independent claim 34 claims the single-piece video-displaying apparatus embodiment
5 of the invention in independent form. It has most of the new limitations of currently amended
6 claim 1*. Support in the original specification for the “*contiguously-formed* video-imaging
7 apparel” embodiment is on: page 11, lines 8-15 (also, see FIGS. 3-5 and * claim 1 references
8 above)

9
10 **Claim 35** Independent claim 35 has all the limitations of currently amended claim 1 except for:
11 “...the apparel attachment means for adjoining said at least one side adjoining edge(s) to a side
12 adjoining edge of another apparel segment...” (see claim 1 references above)

13
14 **Claim 36** Claim 36 is dependent to claim 35, stating elements / limitations of DA allowed claim
15 32 in a dependent form. (see claim 1 references above).

16
17 See page 8-9 “i-vi” below for a listing of how the amended claimed structure (supported in the
18 specification text an accompanying drawing figures) distinguishes over the structure of the prior
19 art. The pages following page 8 specifically point out how the language of the applicant’s claims
20 distinguish over the cited references.